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Figure 1: 800 μm max displacement along the diameter allows different sized wineglass resonators to be tested.

Figure 3. Spectral content of diffracted light from a programmed grating for single (upper) and double (lower) band pass filters. The dashed lines are the simulated spectra while the solid lines are the measured spectra.

Fig. 2: Electrode structures assembled onto a micro-glassblown wineglass resonator with ~20 μm gaps.

Figure 4. Micro-glassblown wineglass structure with 4.2 mm diameter, 50 μm thickness and 300 μm central stem.

Table 1. Sample of a Table Format

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table Head</th>
<th>Table Column Head</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copy</td>
<td>More table copy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>